

EXECUTIVE BOARD - 24 FEBRUARY 2010

Title of paper:	TREASURY MANAGEMENT 2010/11 STRATEGY	
Director(s)/ Corporate Director(s):	Carole Mills-Evans Deputy Chief Executive & Corporate Director for Resources	Wards affected: All
Portfolio Holder(s):	Councillor Graham Chapman, Deputy Leader and Portfolio Holder for Resources, Economic Development and Reputation	Date of consultation with Portfolio Holder(s): 20 January 2010 and during February 2010
Contact(s) and contact details:	Jeff Abbott, Head of Corporate and Strategic Finance (0115 9158265 * jeff.abbott@nottinghamcity.gov.uk	
Others who have provided input:	Pete Guest, Treasury Management Officer (0115 9158137 * pete.guest@nottinghamcity.gov.uk	
Key Decision:		
		No
Reasons for Key Decision:		
Expenditure of £500,000 or more in a single year		
Revenue income of £500,000 or more in a single year		
Savings of £500,000 or more in a single year		
Capital expenditure of £1,000,000 or more		
Capital income of £1,000,000 or more		
Significant effects on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the City		
Relevant Council Plan Strategic Priority:		
World Class Nottingham		✓
Work in Nottingham		✓
Safer Nottingham		✓
Neighbourhood Nottingham		✓
Family Nottingham		✓
Healthy Nottingham		✓
Serving Nottingham Better		✓
Summary of issues (including benefits to customers/service users):		
This report sets out the treasury management and investment strategies for 2010/11 including the debt repayment strategy (Annexe 1). The associated Prudential Indicators are shown within an appendix to the strategy, along with existing risks and a glossary of technical terms. The report also proposes changes to section 12 of the current Financial Regulations in respect of treasury management, which are required as consequence of changes to CIPFA's Treasury Management Code of Practice, which the City Council has previously adopted.		
Recommendation(s):		
1	That Executive Board endorse and recommend for approval by the City Council at its meeting on 8 March 2010:	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The overall Treasury Management Strategy for 2010/11 (Annexe 1) • The strategy for debt repayment in 2010/11 (section 5 of Annexe 1) • The Investment Strategy for 2010/11 (section 6 of Annexe 1) • The prudential indicators and limits from 2008/09 to 2012/13 (Appendix A within Annexe 1) • The revised Financial Regulations re treasury management (Appendix D of Annexe 1) 	

1 BACKGROUND

- 1.1 Treasury management is a term used to describe the management of an organisation's borrowings and investments, their associated risks and the pursuit of optimum performance or return consistent with those risks.
- 1.2 The treasury management function is governed by provisions set out under Part 1 of the Local Government Act 2003, whereby the City Council must have regard to the CIPFA Prudential Code and the CIPFA Code of Practice.
- 1.3 The City Council retains external advisors to provide additional input on treasury management matters. The service provided includes economic and interest rate forecasting, advice on strategy, portfolio structure, debt restructuring, investment policy, creditworthiness, credit ratings and other counterparty criteria and technical assistance on other related matters, as required.
- 1.4 The Treasury Management and Investment Strategies were considered by Audit Committee on 5 February 2010, as part of the scrutiny process, in accordance with the requirements of the CIPFA Code. That Committee identified two substantive issues in respect of the proposed strategies:
- That the strategic principles of the Council's treasury management activities be provided as a separate section within the strategy document (see **Annexe 1, section 2**)
 - That final decisions on the approved list of eligible counterparties for investment in 2010/11 (see **Annexe 1, Table 4**) be delegated to the Portfolio Holder for Resources , Economic Development and Reputation, in consultation with the Head of Corporate and Strategic Finance and the Council's external advisors.
- 1.5 The City Council has formally adopted the Code of Practice for Treasury Management in the Public Services (the Code), issued by the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA). A revision to the Code was issued in December 2009 which requires some changes to the City Council's treasury management related Financial Regulations. The proposed changes (**Appendix D of Annexe 1**) reflect revised reporting requirements, including the scrutiny of treasury management strategies and policies.

2 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS (INCL. OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

2.1 To comply with:

- Financial Regulations and the CIPFA Code of Practice on Treasury Management by submitting a "policy and strategy" statement for the ensuing financial year.
- Guidance issued by the Secretary of State under section 15(1) (a) of the Local Government Act 2003 in approving at Council an Annual Investment Strategy before 1 April.

Guidance issued by the Secretary of State under the Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2008 which requires the preparation of an annual statement of their policy on making a Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP).

3 TREASURY MANAGEMENT AND INVESTMENT STRATEGY 2010/11

3.1 This document sets the strategic context, within the Council's planning cycle, for how treasury management activity will take place. The various aspects of the strategy (ie: treasury, investment and debt) are embraced within one overall document known as the Treasury Management Strategy which is set out at **Annexe 1**. Within this context, the objectives of the strategy are:

- To achieve the lowest net interest rate costs on the City Council's external debt, whilst recognising the risk management implications.
- To protect the Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) from fluctuations in interest rates and to prevent the need for excessive borrowing in future years, when rates may be unfavourable.
- To maintain the security and liquidity of external investments, and within those parameters, to seek to maximise the return on such investments.

3.2 Department for Communities for Local Government (DCLG) guidance on local authority investments requires an annual investment strategy to be set before the financial year in which it applies. This document is incorporated within the Treasury Management and Investment Strategy and provides details of the ways in which investments will be managed so as to protect the Council's financial position and the value of funds invested, whilst ensuring that the returns obtained are appropriate given the stated attitude to risk. The DCLG revised guidance, which is currently in draft format, will become effective from 1 April 2010, and reiterates security and liquidity as the primary objectives of a prudent investment policy.

4 PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS (ANNEXE 1, APPENDIX A)

4.1 The Code requires a series of Prudential Indicators to be set and approved for the forthcoming and following two financial years. These financial indicators are derived from proposed treasury management activity and provide insight into the financial impact of activities. **Appendix A** within the Treasury Management Strategy sets out the indicators for 2010/11 to 2012/13 that are expected to be generated by the proposed strategies, along with explanatory notes.

4.2 From 31 March 2010, local authorities will be required to account for their transactions in line with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). Among other things, IFRS requires a review of the accounting for major capital schemes financed through the Private Finance Initiative (PFI). Previously, such schemes have been excluded from local authority balance sheets, as the private sector provider of the service was deemed to have ownership of the capital assets during the life of the PFI contract. Under IFRS terms, it is expected that some of the City Council's existing and future PFI schemes will be categorised as 'on-balance sheet'. This will have the impact of bringing the assets, and the associated loan debt, into the City Council's accounts.

Therefore, the Prudential Indicators allow for an increase in the capital financing requirement and external debt figures, to reflect the additional debt which is expected to appear on the balance sheet at 31 March 2010 and in the following three years. These changes will have no impact on the Council's overall revenue position.

5 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

- 5.1 Options for management of the City Council's debt and investment portfolio are continually reviewed. The overall aim is to minimise the net revenue costs of our debt whilst maintaining an even debt profile in future years, and to maximise investment returns within stated security and liquidity guidelines.

6 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS (INCLUDING VALUE FOR MONEY)

- 6.1 Total treasury management payments comprise interest charges and receipts and provision for repayment of debt. A proportion of the City Council's debt relates to capital expenditure on council housing and this is recharged to the Housing Revenue Account (HRA) and funded through the Housing Subsidy system. The remaining costs are included within the treasury management section of the General Fund budget. **Table 1** sets out the budget for 2010/11:

TABLE 1: REVENUE BUDGET POSITION			
DESCRIPTION	BUDGET 2009/10 £m	FORECAST OUTTURN £m	BUDGET 2010/11 £m
External interest	28.1	24.6	27.7
Debt repayment provision	12.3	12.2	13.9
Less: HRA recharge	(13.5)	(12.3)	(14.2)
General Fund expenditure	26.9	24.5	27.4
Investment interest	(5.1)	(2.6)	(1.7)
Prudential borrowing recharge	(1.5)	(1.7)	(1.6)
Transfer to/from TM reserve	(1.1)	(1.0)	-
NET GENERAL FUND POSITION	19.2	19.2	24.1

The Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP), approved by City Council in March 2009, maintained the net cost of the 2009/10 treasury management service at its existing figure, through the use of £1.131m from the treasury management reserve. Based on the latest projections, this contribution has reduced to £0.991m arising from reduced costs of loan interest from debt repayments offset by a reduction in investment income.

The 2010/11 budget of £24.1m is reflected in the figures included in the MTFP 2010/11 – 2012/13, included elsewhere on the agenda for this meeting.

7 RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES (INCLUDING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT IMPLICATIONS AND EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS)

- 7.1 Risk management plays a fundamental role in treasury activities, due to the value and nature of transactions involved. The management of specific treasury management risks is set out in the Manual of Treasury Management Practices and Procedures and a risk register is prepared for the treasury function. The proposals in this report represent a prudent step away from the risk averse "lock down" in the immediate aftermath of the global banking crisis.

8 LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION

8.1 PWLB records, working papers

9 PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

9.1 Treasury Management in the Public Services, Code of Practice 2009 - CIPFA
The Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities 2009 – CIPFA

NOTTINGHAM CITY COUNCIL
TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY

CONTENTS	
SECTION	PAGE
Context	1
Strategic Principles	2
Borrowing Requirement and Strategy	3
Debt Restructuring	4
Minimum Revenue Provision	5
Investment Strategy	6
Reporting Process	9
Training	9
Management of Risk	9

TABLES	
TABLE	PAGE
1 Projected Movements in Interest Rates 2009 - 2012	2
2 Strategic Principles Link to prudential Indicators	3
3 Total Borrowing Requirement 2010/11	3
4 Eligible Counterparties for Investment 2010/11	7

APPENDICES	
ITEM	
A	Schedule of Prudential indicators, 2008/09 to 2012/13
B	City Council Treasury Management Risk Management Action Plan
C	Glossary of Technical Terms Used in Treasury Management
D	Proposed changes to City Council Financial Regulations

Executive Board 24 February 2010
City Council 8 March 2010

TREASURY MANAGEMENT AND INVESTMENT STRATEGY 2010/11

1. Context

Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS)

The MTFS sets out the arrangements for the planning and management of the Council's finances. Section G sets out the overall context for the Council's treasury management activities. The current MTFS was approved by Executive Board on 22 September 2009. The three strategic principles set out there are as follows:

- G1 - All borrowing and debt management activity will be carried out in accordance with the annually approved Treasury Management Strategy and the Manual of Treasury Management Practices and Procedures, and within approved Prudential Indicators, having the highest regard for prudence, affordability and sustainability in the longer term.
- G2 - The management of the treasury investment portfolio will be in accordance with the approved investment strategy, with all investments complying with counterparty limits and restrictions.
- G3 - Appropriate use of prudential borrowing to fund capital investment will be made within prudential indicators and subject to medium term affordability.

The Debt Portfolio

Management of the City Council's debt portfolio is a key element of the Treasury Management and Investment Strategy. At 31 March 2010 the total value of the portfolio is c £570m (excluding PFI 'debt'), borrowed at an average interest rate of 4.90%. In 2010/11 total debt is forecast to increase to c £619m (again, excluding PFI-related debt.) Gross interest on all debt in 2010/11 is estimated at c £27m.

The Investment Portfolio

The City Council also maintains an investment portfolio to ensure that surplus cash (ie: working capital, reserves and provisions) earns interest whilst it is being held. The average value of investments during 2009/10 is c £111m, with a similar level forecast for 2010/11.

The average return on investments during 2009/10 is expected to be 1.95%. With the reduction in UK interest rates during 2009/10 now being fully reflected, this return is estimated to fall to 1.40% in 2010/11. Investment interest is estimated at £1.55m for the year.

Market Conditions

The Treasury Management Strategy seeks to protect the City Council from market related risks by proactively monitoring key factors such as interest rates and economic opinions, both nationally and internationally. The adopted strategy will be regularly reappraised and, if necessary, realigned to reflect market conditions and changes to interest rate forecasts.

Outlook for interest rates

Although the recovery of the UK economy has started, it is likely to be slow and possibly uneven. The current bank rate of 0.50% is expected to remain until the autumn of 2010, from when it will increase gradually back to what is considered a more normal level. Longer-term rates are expected to be more volatile, with a return to rising yields as the Government's quantitative easing programme ceases and is gradually reversed.

Table 1 shows actual rates at 31 December 2009 and projected rates until the end of March 2012, based on forecasts from the City Council's advisors. Short-term rates inform decisions on the investment of surplus monies, and rates for long-term borrowing are linked to the Government Gilt rates for the appropriate period:

TABLE 1: PROJECTED MOVEMENTS IN INTEREST RATES 2009 - 2012								
YEAR	END PERIOD	BASE RATE	MONEY RATES			LONG TERM GILT RATES		
			3 MONTHS	6 MONTHS	12 MONTHS	5 YEARS	20 YEARS	50 YEARS
2009	Dec	0.50%	0.54%	0.65%	1.20%	2.60%	4.10%	4.00%
2010	Mar	0.50%	0.70%	1.00%	1.25%	2.70%	4.25%	4.25%
	Jun	0.50%	0.70%	1.00%	1.25%	2.80%	4.50%	4.50%
	Sep	0.50%	1.00%	1.25%	1.50%	2.90%	4.75%	4.50%
	Dec	1.00%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	3.00%	4.75%	4.50%
2011	Mar	1.50%	2.25%	2.50%	2.75%	3.25%	5.00%	4.75%
	Jun	2.25%	3.00%	3.25%	3.50%	3.50%	5.00%	4.75%
	Sep	3.00%	4.00%	4.00%	4.00%	3.75%	5.00%	4.75%
	Dec	4.00%	4.00%	4.25%	4.25%	4.00%	5.00%	4.75%
2012	Mar	4.00%	4.00%	4.25%	4.25%	4.25%	5.00%	4.75%

Interest rate forecasts are subject to certain risks and may move more or less than forecast. Currently, the stronger risk is that rates may rise faster than the above forecasts, especially for shorter-term periods.

2. Strategic Principles

The Council's treasury management activities will be undertaken with the following strategic aims and objectives:

1. To achieve the minimum interest rate cost on the City Council's external debt, whilst recognising the risk management implications;
2. To protect the capital value of external cash investments and ensure the liquidity of those investments;
3. To provide an income stream to the City Council from investments and maximise this stream, within the stated parameters of security and liquidity
4. To apply mitigation to the risks associated with treasury management activity;
5. To seek to follow best practice at all times.

The actual outcomes against these strategic principles can be assessed by the use of prudential indicators and associated commentary. **Table 2** lists which of the prudential indicators set out in **Appendix A** relate to each of the principles.

TABLE 2: STRATEGIC PRINCIPLES LINK TO PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS	
PRINCIPLE	PIs
1	2i, 2iii, 2iv, 3i, 3ii, 3iii, 3v
2	3iv, 3v
3	3iv, 3v
4	3v
5	3v

Within these principles, specific strategies will be adopted in 2010/11 in respect of:

- Borrowing
- Debt rescheduling
- Provision for repayment of debt
- Investments
- Reporting, and
- Training

These are addressed in the following paragraphs.

3. Borrowing Requirement and Strategy

The City Council undertakes borrowing to:

- Finance capital expenditure not met from other sources (e.g. grants, capital receipts etc.)
- Replace maturing debt (net of minimum revenue provision)
- Finance cash flow in the short-term

The primary risks associated with the management of a debt portfolio are the uncertain future fluctuations in interest rates and an uneven loan maturity spread, requiring large amounts of debt to be replaced in any single period. To mitigate this risk, the City Council's debt portfolio will be managed with the aim of reducing the annual revenue cost of borrowing and evenly spreading the debt maturity profile. The use of variable rate borrowing will be continued whilst interest rates remain favourable. **Table 3** shows the estimated total borrowing requirement for 2010/11, reflecting the current capital programme:

TABLE 3: TOTAL BORROWING REQUIREMENT 2010/11	
DESCRIPTION	£m
Debt maturing during the year	5.000
Supported borrowing 2010/11:	
HRA	37.600
General Fund	4.204
Unsupported borrowing 2010/11:	
HRA	0.000
General Fund	21.169
Less: revenue provision for repayment:	
HRA	(0.622)
General Fund	(14.247)
TOTAL	53.104

The type, period, and timing of new borrowing will be determined by the Chief Finance Officer (CFO), under delegated authority, taking into account the following factors:

- Expected movements in interest rates
- The maturity profile of existing debt
- The impact on the medium term financial strategy
- Prudential indicators and limits

4. Debt Restructuring

Opportunities for debt restructuring, which involves repaying or replacing existing debt with new loans for different periods and at different rates, will be monitored and appropriate action taken by the CFO under delegated authority, taking into account the following factors:

- The maturity profile
- Ongoing revenue savings
- The impact of premiums and discounts
- The impact on Prudential Indicators

In particular, existing Public Works Loan Board (PWLB) variable rate debt and market loans with lender options will be monitored against prevailing interest rates. Where it is considered beneficial to do so, restructuring into fixed-rate products may be undertaken, to reduce the risk of future interest rate movements.

5. 2010/11 Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Statement

Under the Local Authorities (Capital Finance and Accounting) (England) (Amendment) Regulations 2008 (S.I. 2008/414), local authorities have a duty to produce an annual statement on their policy for making a minimum revenue provision (MRP) for the repayment of outstanding debt. For 2010/11 the City Council will be adopting the following policies in determining the MRP:

- For any capital expenditure incurred prior to 31 March 2008 and financed through borrowing, the City Council will adopt the regulatory method (designated by the regulations as Option 1). This is where the MRP will be 4% of the opening capital financing requirement (CFR).
- For any capital expenditure incurred after 1 April 2008, and being financed by supported borrowing, the City Council will again adopt the regulatory method (Option 1).
- For any capital expenditure incurred after 1 April 2008, and being financed by unsupported borrowing (General Fund and HRA), the authority will adopt the asset life method (Option 3). The MRP will be based on the capital expenditure divided by a determined asset life to give equal annual instalments. It should be noted that once the asset life has been determined for this capital expenditure, it will not be altered in future years, for the MRP repayment

The 2009 Statement of Recommended Accounting Practice (SORP) requires that local authorities adopt International Financial Accounting Standards from 2010/11. This may result in some of the City Council's existing PFI schemes or leases being brought onto the balance sheet. Where this occurs, there will be an increase in the City Council's overall CFR (its need to borrow) and, therefore, an increase in the MRP charge to revenue. MRP

for these items will match the annual principal repayment for the associated deferred liability. The effect on the City Council's revenue account will therefore be neutral.

6. Investment Strategy 2010/11

Investment policy

All external investments will be made in accordance with the City Council's adopted investment policy and prevailing legislation and regulation. In accordance with CLG guidance, the City Council's general policy objective is to invest its surplus funds prudently. The investment priorities are:

- Security of the invested capital
- Liquidity of the invested capital
- And, commensurate with security and liquidity, an optimum return on those investments

During 2009/10, investments with banks and building societies were restricted to those institutions that had access to the UK Government's Credit Guarantee Scheme, set up to provide a platform to maintain the solvency of institutions critical to the UK's financial stability.

For 2010/11, it is intended to continue with these restrictions in respect of UK institutions. However, in order to diversify the investment portfolio, consideration has been given to the addition of a number of non-UK institutions. The basis for selection, and specific investment criteria, are detailed below.

Specific investment criteria

The selection of counterparties eligible for investment in 2010/11 has been based on advice received from our advisors and has taken into account all appropriate credit ratings of those institutions (using the lowest available rating from those supplied by the three main rating agencies). In addition, a range of other factors have been taken into account, including:

- The existence of Government support schemes
- Individual Government credit ratings
- Credit default swap rates
- Share prices
- Press articles and reports
- Any other information pertinent to the security of the investment

All investments are required to be categorised as 'Specified' or Non-Specified', based on criteria in the CLG guidance. For 2010/11, the City Council will restrict its investments to those within the 'Specified' category only. To qualify within this category, the investment is required to be:

- In sterling only
- For a maximum period of 364 days
- With a counterparty of a high credit quality, as determined by the City Council
- Not defined as capital expenditure under section 25(1)(d) in SI 2003 No 3146

The categories of investment identified for use within the above criteria in 2010/11 are:

- Deposits with the Government's Debt Management Account Deposit Facility
- Deposits with other UK local authorities
- Deposits with banks and building societies meeting the high credit quality, as determined by the City Council and included on the City Council's approved counterparty list
- Money Market Funds (pooled, short maturity, high quality investment vehicles offering instant access) with a AAA rating and a Constant Net Asset Value

Conditions in the international financial sector continue to show signs of improvement, albeit with substantial intervention from government authorities. It is therefore proposed to add some comparable non-UK banks to the counterparty list. The countries to be included are Australia, Canada, Finland, France, Germany, Netherlands, Spain, Switzerland and the US. These countries, and the banks within them, have been proposed by our investment advisors and have been selected after detailed analysis and monitoring of:

- Credit ratings (country and institutions)
- Credit Default Swap Rates
- Gross Domestic Product of the country, and its net debt as a % of GDP
- Government support mechanisms
- Parent bank institution support

The proposed counterparty list, shown in **Table 4**, has been drawn up after evaluating and applying the above criteria for available institutions. UK banks and building societies with access to the Government's Credit Guarantee Scheme will remain as eligible institutions. For all banks, a minimum long- and short-term credit rating from all three rating agencies (Fitch, S&P and Moodys) has been applied as follows:

- a short-term rating of F1 (Fitch), A-1 (S&P) or P-1 (Moodys)
- and a long-term rating of A+ (Fitch and S&P) or A1 (Moodys)

The interpretation of these various credit ratings is provided as a note to **Table 4**. Regular monitoring and evaluation of credit ratings and other criteria will be maintained, and counterparties will be removed from the approved list if this combined evaluation falls below the minimum level. This action will also be taken if other intelligence suggests that this would be prudent.

Limits on periods of investment and maximum sums to be deposited have been applied to individual institutions, based on the evaluation of the above criteria and strengthened through reference to the size of the investment portfolio, the remaining period of Government guarantees, banking group structures and country limits. The details of limits applied are provided in **Table 4** and the associated notes; in particular:

- Co-Operative Bank – the City Council's own bank, while not meeting the minimum criteria for investments, is included on the counterparty list for periods of up to 5 days, to accommodate necessary short-term cash management.
- Group limits – where more than one bank on the counterparty list is included within a banking group (e.g. Bank of Scotland and Lloyds TSB Bank), individual limits will also apply to the group as a whole.
- Country limits – other than for UK institutions, a total investment limit will apply to all counterparties in a particular country. No more than 10% of the total investment portfolio, at the time of the deposit, will be placed with any one country.

- Overall country limit – in addition, no more than 25% of the investment portfolio, at the time of the deposit, will be placed with non-UK banks in total.
- Money Market Funds – as well as individual limits, a maximum sum of £40m will be held in MMFs in total, at any one time.

TABLE 4: ELIGIBLE COUNTERPARTIES FOR INVESTMENT 2010/11

INSTRUMENT	COUNTRY	COUNTERPARTY	MAX. SUM	MAX. PERIOD DAYS
Term deposit / Call account	U.K.	Debt Management Office	No limit	364
		UK local authorities	No limit	364
		Bank of Scotland / Lloyds TSB Bank	£20m	364
		Barclays Bank	£20m	364
		Co-Operative Bank (the Council's bank)	No limit	5
		Clydesdale Bank	£20m	31
		HSBC Bank	£20m	364
		Nationwide Building society	£20m	364
		Royal Bank of Scotland	£20m	364
		Santander UK (Abbey National)	£20m	364
	Australia	Australia & NZ Banking Group	£5m	183
		Commonwealth Bank of Australia	£5m	183
		National Australia Bank Ltd	£5m	183
		Westpac Banking Corporation	£5m	183
	Canada	Bank of Montreal	£5m	183
		Bank of Nova Scotia	£5m	183
		Canadian Imp. Bank of Commerce	£5m	183
		Royal Bank of Canada	£5m	183
		Toronto-Dominion Bank	£5m	183
	Finland	Nordea Bank Finland	£5m	183
	France	BNP Paribas	£5m	183
		Calyon	£5m	183
		Credit Agricole SA	£5m	183
	Germany	Deutsche Bank AG	£5m	183
	Netherlands	Rabobank	£5m	183
	Spain	Banco Bilbao Vizcaya Argentaria	£5m	183
		Banco Santander SA	£5m	183
Switzerland	Credit Suisse	£5m	183	
USA	JP Morgan	£5m	183	
Money Market Funds		AAA-rated funds (Constant Net Asset Value)	£10m per fund	N/A

IMPORTANT NOTES TO TABLE 4:

Credit Rating Definitions

Short Term Ratings

Fitch F1

Highest credit quality, indicating the strongest capacity or timely payment of commitments.

Standard & Poor's A-1

Strong capacity to meet its financial commitments.

Moody's P-1

Offers superior credit quality and a very strong capacity for timely payment of short-term deposit obligations.

Long Term Ratings

Fitch A+

High credit quality. 'A' ratings denote expectations of low credit risk. They indicate strong capacity for payment of financial commitments. The '+' denotes the relative status within the category.

Standard & Poor's A+

An obligor rated 'A' has strong capacity to meet its financial commitments. The '+' denotes the relative status within the category.

Moody's A1

Banks rated A are considered upper-medium grade and are subject to low credit risk. The modifier 1 indicates that the rating is in the higher end of its generic rating category.

Limiting Factors

Co-operative Bank – *the City Council's own bank does not meet the City Council's applied criteria. They are included on the counterparty list, with a maximum period of investment of 5 days, for cash flow purposes.*

Groups - *where more than one institution is included within a banking group, the individual limit will apply to the total investment in that group*

Countries - *a maximum of 10% of the investment portfolio to be invested in any one country (excluding the UK) at the time of investment, with a maximum of 25% of the portfolio, at the time of investment, in non-UK banks in total.*

Money Market Funds – *a limit of £40m in all MMFs is to be applied at all times.*

Investment management

Counterparties – all investments will be limited to institutions based on the adopted criteria. A schedule of eligible counterparties will be maintained. Their credit ratings and other relevant information will be analysed and monitored on a regular basis by the City Council and its advisors, to ensure the security of monies invested.

Maximum sums - total investments with individual counterparties, groups, non-UK institutions and Money Market Funds, as detailed in **Table 4**, will apply at all times.

Liquidity - the maximum period for any deposit will be 364 days. For investments with non-UK institutions, a maximum period of 6 months will apply. In order to maintain liquidity and reduce the associated risk, the average period for investments will be monitored and reported on a regular basis.

Return – within the criteria detailed above, an appropriate return will be sought.

Reporting – details of the investment portfolio, use of counterparties and the rates of return will be included in all reports to the Audit Committee and Executive Board. In addition, regular monthly reports will be provided to the Treasury Management Panel.

7. Reporting Process

Following approval of the Strategy for 2010/11, the reporting of treasury management activity and performance during the year will be, as a minimum:

- A mid-year report to Audit Committee and Executive Board
- An outturn report to Audit Committee and Executive Board after the end of the financial year

Any required changes to the Strategy, or the associated Prudential Indicators, will be reported to a meeting of the full City Council, in accordance with CLG guidance.

The Treasury Management Panel (comprising the CFO, Director of Strategic Finance, Head of Corporate and Strategic Finance, Treasury Management Officer and other senior finance colleagues) will scrutinise regular reports on treasury management activity throughout the year.

8. Training

The revised Code requires the CFO to ensure that all councillors tasked with treasury management responsibilities, including scrutiny of the treasury management function, receive training appropriate to their needs and understand fully their roles and responsibilities. A training scheme is under development and will be made available to all councillors, as required, during 2010/11

9. Management of Risk

Risk management plays a fundamental role in treasury activities, due to the value and nature of transactions involved. **Appendix B** details the specific risks identified in respect of treasury management within the City Council and the adopted Risk Management Action Plan.

APPENDIX A

PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2008/09 – 2012/13					
	2008/09 Actual £m	2009/10 Est £m	2010/11 Est £m	2011/12 Est £m	2012/13 Est £m
1. PRUDENCE INDICATORS					
i) Capital Expenditure					
General Fund	92.319	174.063	125.121	122.332	107.690
HRA	30.379	55.602	59.330	74.714	68.347
	122.698	229.665	184.451	197.046	176.037
ii) CFR at 31 March					
General Fund*	255.486	311.430	405.916	411.081	423.418
HRA	244.498	284.799	322.217	373.284	416.371
	499.984	596.229	728.133	784.365	839.789
iii) External Debt at 31 March					
Borrowing	489.711	570.776	618.880	675.112	711.536
Other*	0	15.800	99.600	99.600	118.600
	489.711	586.576	718.480	774.712	830.136
2. AFFORDABILITY INDICATORS					
i) Financing costs ratio					
General Fund	4.69%	6.08%	7.88%	7.41%	7.34%
HRA	14.96%	14.52%	15.93%	15.62%	15.31%
ii) Impact of capital investment decisions					
Council Tax Band D (per annum)	-	-	+ £1.26	+ £5.07	+ £2.80
HRA rent (per week)	-	-	+ £0.01	+ £0.09	+ £0.25
iii) Authorised limit for external debt	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
iv) Operational Boundary for external debt	0	601,576	748,480	804,712	860,136
	0	598,576	738,480	794,712	850,136
3. TREASURY MANAGEMENT INDICATORS					
i) Limit on variable interest rates	0%	0 – 30%	0 – 30%	0 – 30%	0 – 30%
ii) Limit on fixed interest rates	100%	70–100%	70–100%	70–100%	70–100%
iii) Fixed Debt maturity structure					
- under 12 months	8.8%	0 – 20%	0 – 20%	0 – 20%	0 – 20%
- 12 months to 2 years	2.0%	0 – 20%	0 – 20%	0 – 20%	0 – 20%
- 2 to 5 years	12.8%	0 – 25%	0 – 25%	0 – 25%	0 – 25%
- 5 to 10 years	2.9%	0 – 25%	0 – 25%	0 – 25%	0 – 25%
- 10 to 25 years	24.6%	0 – 50%	0 – 50%	0 – 50%	0 – 50%
- 25 to 40 years	14.2%	0 – 25%	0 – 25%	0 – 25%	0 – 25%
- 40 years and above	34.7%	0 – 75%	0 – 75%	0 – 75%	0 – 75%
iv) Sums invested for >364 days					
- in-house limit	£15m	£25m	£40m	£40m	£40m
v) Adoption of the CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management in the Public Services	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

* Includes PFI-related debt from 31 March 2010

See overleaf for notes to the above table.

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS

1) Prudence indicators

- i) *'Estimate of total capital expenditure'* – a “reasonable” estimate of total capital expenditure to be incurred in the next 3 financial years, split between the General Fund and the Housing Revenue Account (HRA).
 - This estimate takes into account the City Council’s asset management and capital investment strategies.
- ii) *'Capital financing requirement' (CFR)* – this figure constitutes the aggregate amount of capital spending which has not yet been financed by capital receipts, capital grants or contributions from revenue, and represents the City Council’s underlying need to borrow money long-term. An actual figure at 31 March each year is required, together with estimates for the next three financial years.
 - This approximates to the previous Credit Ceiling calculation and provides an indication of the total long-term debt requirement.
 - From 31 March 2010, the CFR includes an estimation of the total debt which will be brought ‘on-balance sheet’ in respect of PFI schemes previously not accounted for.
- iii) *'Actual external debt'* - the actual level of gross borrowing (plus other long-term liabilities) calculated from the balance sheet, with estimates for the next three financial years. (From 31 March 2010, the figures include the debt relating to on-balance sheet PFI schemes).

2) Affordability indicators

- i) *'Ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream'* – expresses the revenue costs of the City Council’s borrowing (interest payments and provision for repayment) as a percentage of the total sum to be raised from government grant, business rates and council tax (General Fund) and housing subsidy and rent income (HRA).
 - These indicators show the impact of borrowing on the City Council’s revenue accounts and enable a comparison between years to be made. At present, the cost of borrowing is supported by Central Government through the Revenue Support Grant and Housing Subsidy systems, although this may not always be the case in the future.
- ii) *'Incremental impact of capital investment decisions'* – expresses the revenue consequences of future capital spending plans to be met from unsupported borrowing on both the level of council tax and weekly housing rents.
 - this is a key indicator, which provides a direct link between the City Council’s capital programme and its revenue budget and enables the revenue impact of additional unsupported capital investment to be understood.
- iii) *'Authorised limit for external debt'* – this represents the maximum amount that the City Council may borrow at any point during the year and replaces the previous ‘overall external borrowing’ limit. An estimate for the next three financial years is required.

- This figure allows for the possibility that all borrowing for capital purposes may be undertaken early in the year, with a further sum to reflect any temporary borrowing as a result of adverse cash flow. This represents a 'worst case' scenario and the level is very unlikely to be reached.
- iv) *'Operating boundary for external debt'* – this indicator is a working limit and represents the highest level of borrowing that the City Council is expecting to reach at any time during the year
- It is recognised that this operational boundary may be breached in exceptional circumstances. However, the Prudential Code recommends that a sustained pattern of borrowing above this limit be investigated as a potential symptom of a more serious financial problem.

3) **Treasury management indicators**

- i) *'The amount of net borrowing which is at a variable rate of interest'* - expressed either as an absolute amount or a percentage. Upper and lower limits for the next three financial years are required.
- High levels of variable rate debt leaves the City Council at risk from increases in interest rates. This figure represents the maximum permitted exposure to such debt.
- ii) *'The amount of net borrowing which is at fixed rate of interest'* - expressed either as an absolute amount or a percentage. Upper and lower limits for the next three financial years are required.
- Fixed rate borrowing provides certainty for future interest costs, regardless of movements in interest rates. The lower limit is effectively the counterpart to the upper limit for variable rate borrowing.
- iii) *'Upper and lower limits with respect to the maturity structure of the authority's borrowing'* – this shows the amount of fixed rate borrowing maturing in each period, expressed as a percentage of total fixed rate borrowing.
- This indicator is designed to be a control over the City Council having large amounts of fixed rate debt falling to be replaced at the same time.
- iv) *'Total sums invested for periods of greater than 364 days'* – a limit on investments for periods longer than 1 year. A three-year estimate is required.
- This indicator is designed to protect the liquidity of investments, ensuring that large proportions of the City Council's cash reserves are not invested for long periods.
- v) *The adoption of the CIPFA Code of Practice for Treasury Management in the Public Services'*. This is not a numerical indicator, but a statement of good practice.
- Nottingham City Council adopted the Code on 18 February 2002. The revised Code, issued in 2009, has been incorporated within the City Council's adopted strategy and procedures.

Risk Management Action Plan (RMAP)

Likelihood	
1	Remote
2	Unlikely
3	Possible
4	Likely
5	Almost Certain

Likelihood (L)	5	5	10	15	20	25
	4	4	8	12	16	20
	3	3	6	9	12	15
	2	2	4	6	8	10
	1	1	2	3	4	5
		1	2	3	4	5
	Impact (I)					

Impact	
1	Negligible
2	Minor
3	Moderate
4	Major
5	Catastrophic



Summary Business Risk: SRR17 – Failure to protect Council’s investments			
Owned by: DCEX/CD - Resources	Completed by: DCEX/CD - Resources	Completed: January 2010	Next Review: April 2010
Prevailing Summary risk Threat Level (LxI)	7 (average) (2 x 3.5)	Target summary Risk Threat Level	4 (2x2)
Summary risk mitigation effectiveness (Effective, yet to secure improvement, may not be enough)	Effective		
Risks under risk management:			
Risk Ref:	Description	Current Risk Rating Score (LxI)	Target Risk Rating Score (LxI)
1	Inappropriate investment of monies with counterparties	2 x 4 = 8	2 x 4 = 8
2	Inappropriate investment strategy	2 x 3 = 6	2 x 3 = 6
3	Inappropriate borrowing strategy	2 x 3 = 6	2 x 2 = 4
4	Inappropriate management of debt portfolio	2 x 3 = 6	2 x 2 = 4
5	Poor cash management	2 x 3 = 6	2 x 2 = 4
6	Colleague fraud	2 x 4 = 8	2 x 2 = 4
7	Failure to comply with CIPFA Code of Practice and/or respond to changes in relevant legislation	2 x 3 = 6	1 x 3 = 3

Current Management Action / Controls Acting on Risk?

Delete as applicable: Some None

Risk Ref.	Current Management/actions in place	Adequacy of action/control to mitigate risk	Additional management action/controls	Responsibility for additional action		Critical success factors of additional actions	Key Dates	
				CD	D/ HoS		Additional controls complete	Progress review frequency
				1.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continued use of new external advisors – Arling Close Use of approved counterparties list based on fuller range of formal credit ratings and wider market intelligence and advice of new advisors Limits set for amounts and time periods with individual institutions Checks introduced arising from the review continue and are successful. New TM and investment strategy recently reviewed and implemented Regular review takes place of the success of the TM and investment strategies. Continued scan of 			
						Ongoing	At least quarterly and as required	
						Ongoing	Subject to regular review	
						Ongoing	As req'd	

	wider economic environment and action taken.							
2.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retention of new external advisors. • Regular reviews of interest rate forecasts • Knowledge of investment products through attending seminars • Regular review of the investment and TM strategies • Constant scanning of wider economic activity and prompt response • All funds with counterparties that were reclassified have now been returned in full with interest • Second dividend from Icelandic banks has been received. • Testing of the system took place and enabled further strengthening actions to be implemented. • CFO takes action under delegation (and in consultation with portfolio holder) to respond quickly to 	EFFECTIVE		CME	TK/JA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TM colleagues continue to work with advisors and colleagues to keep abreast of wider economic conditions and respond accordingly. • TM panel continues to meet regularly to review the overall position and specifics where required. • Training continues to take place. 	Ongoing	Quarterly
							ongoing	At least quarterly and as required
							As req'd	

	<p>emerging issues.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Linked in with LGA work on recovery of funds in Icelandic banks. • Ongoing regular review (at least quarterly) with formal changes implemented where required. 							
3.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification and monitoring of annual borrowing requirement • Monitoring of borrowing rates • Use of alternative products • Regular review of arrangements and possibilities • Fundamental review of capital programme has taken place and will inform a new capital strategy. 	EFFECTIVE – except for Capital Programme review element – YET TO SECURE IMPROVEMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conclude outcomes of capital programme review and write new capital strategy • Maintain all other current arrangements 	CME	TK/JA JA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sufficient resource to cover capital expenditure and cashflows • Continued regular review by TM panel. • Approval of new Capital Strategy by Exec Board 		<p>Quarterly</p> <p>+ as req'd</p> <p>Spring 2010</p>
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retention of new external advisors – Arling Close • Regular monitoring of debt maturity profile • opportunities for rescheduling identified and implemented 	EFFECTIVE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintain existing arrangements 	CME	TK/JA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continued regular review by TM panel 	At TM panel meetings	Quarterly

5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of cash forecasting models, with regular monitoring and updates undertaken • Track record is sound • Continuous adaptation of model in the light of prevailing and forecast circumstances 	EFFECTIVE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintain existing arrangements 	CME	TK/JA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regular review by TM panel 	At TM panel meetings	At least Quarterly
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delegation and approved process in place • Separation of duties between treasury management dealing and accounting • Annual internal audit review • Use of professional indemnity insurance • Governance checks in place – eg: review by deputy s151 officer and TM Panel in place and satisfactory outcomes to date • System test took place 	EFFECTIVE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A periodic system test to take place going forward • Maintain existing arrangements – to be changed if testing identifies any issues 	CME	TK/JA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Satisfactory outcome of internal audit review • Continuing satisfactory outcome of checks by deputy s151 officer and system tests. • TM Panel review is robust 	Internal audit report TBD. Ongoing TM Panel meetings	Quarterly Ongoing Ongoing
7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Formal adoption of Code in place since inception. • Updates are reflected in annual review of 	EFFECTIVE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Existing arrangements to continue 	CME	TK/JA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continued application of current arrangements • Revisions are 	Ongoing	Ongoing

	<p>TM and Investment Strategies</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of requirements to take place as early as possible • Training on accounting issues 					<p>promptly and accurately reflected</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Satisfactory internal audit review outcome • Robust appraisal by TM panel 	<p>Annual TM and investment strategy</p> <p>Audit report</p> <p>TM Panel meetings</p>	<p>Annual</p> <p>TBD</p> <p>At least quarterly</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---	--

GLOSSARY OF TREASURY MANAGEMENT TECHNICAL TERMS	
TERM	DEFINITION
Bank Rate	The official interest rate set by the Bank of England's Monetary Policy Committee and what is generally termed at the "base rate".
Capital Expenditure	Expenditure on the acquisition, creation or enhancement of capital assets.
Capital Financing Requirement (CFR)	The Council's underlying need to borrow for capital purposes representing the cumulative capital expenditure of the local authority that has not been financed.
Capital Receipts	Money obtained on the sale of a capital asset.
CNAV	Constant Net Asset Value - a term used in relation to the valuation of 1 share in a fund. This means that at all times the value of 1 share is £1.
Credit Default Swaps	Financial instrument for swapping the risk of debt default; the buyer effectively pays a premium against the risk of default.
Credit Rating	Formal opinion by a registered rating agency of a counterparty's future ability to meet its financial liabilities; these are opinions only and not guarantees.
Credit Support Scheme	Part of the measures announced by the UK Government on 8 October 2008 to ensure the stability of the financial system. The measures were intended to provide sufficient liquidity in the short term; to make available new capital to UK banks and building societies to strengthen their resources, permitting them to restructure their finances, while maintaining their support for the real economy; and to ensure that the banking system has the funds necessary to maintain lending in the medium term. Institutions that are permitted access to the scheme are termed 'Eligible Institutions'.
Diversification	The spreading of investments among different types of assets or between markets in order to reduce risk.
Government Gilts	Bonds issued by the UK Government. They take their name from 'gilt-edged': being issued by the UK government, they are deemed to be very secure as the investor expects to receive the full face value of the bond to be repaid on maturity.
Maturity	The date when an investment or borrowing is repaid
Money Market Funds (MMF)	Pooled funds which invest in a range of short term assets providing high credit quality and high liquidity.
Minimum Revenue Provision	An annual provision that the Authority is statutorily required to set aside and charge to the Revenue Account for the repayment of debt associated with expenditure incurred on capital assets
Non-Specified Investments	Term used in the Communities and Local Government Guidance. It includes any investment for periods greater than one year or those with bodies that do not have a high credit rating, use of which must be justified.
Pooled funds	Funds in which several investors collectively hold units or shares. The assets in the fund are not held directly by each investor, but as part of a pool
Premiums and Discounts	A penalty or payment arising from the premature repayment of debt. The calculation is dependant on the relative level of interest rates for the existing loan and current market rates.

Private Finance Initiative	A way of funding major capital investments, without immediate recourse to the public purse. Private consortia are contracted to design, build, and in some cases manage new projects. Contracts can typically last for 30 years, during which time the asset is leased by a public authority.
Prudential Code	Developed by CIPFA as a professional code of practice to support local authority capital investment planning within a clear, affordable, prudent and sustainable framework and in accordance with good professional practice
Prudential Indicators	Indicators determined by the local authority to define its capital expenditure and asset management framework. They are designed to support and record local decision making in a manner that is publicly accountable; they are not intended to be comparative performance indicators
PWLB	Public Works Loans Board. A statutory body operating within the United Kingdom Debt Management Office, an Executive Agency of HM Treasury. The PWLB's function is to lend money from the National Loans Fund to local authorities and other prescribed bodies, and to collect the repayments.
Quantitative Easing	The process used by the Bank of England to directly increase the quantity of money in the economy. The Bank buys assets from private sector institutions and credits the seller's bank account. The seller has more money in their bank account, while their bank holds a claim against the Bank of England (known as reserves). The end result is more money out in the wider economy.
Revenue Expenditure	Expenditure to meet the continuing cost of delivery of services including salaries and wages, the purchase of materials and capital financing charges
SORP	Statement of Recommended Practice for Accounting (Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom).
Specified Investments	Term used in the CLG Guidance for Local Authority Investments. Investments that offer high security and high liquidity, in sterling and for no more than 1 year. UK government, local authorities and bodies that have a high credit rating.
Supported Borrowing	Borrowing for which the costs are supported by the government or third party.
Treasury Management Code	CIPFA's Code of Practice for Treasury Management in the Public Services
Term Deposits	Deposits of cash with terms attached relating to maturity and rate of return (interest)
Unsupported Borrowing	Borrowing which is self-financed by the local authority. This is also sometimes referred to as Prudential Borrowing.

Proposed Changes to City Council Financial Regulations – Section 12

12 TREASURY MANAGEMENT

- 12.1 The City Council has formally adopted the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's Code of Practice Regarding Treasury Management in the Public Services.
- 12.2 The City Council shall create and maintain:
- A treasury management policy statement, stating the policies, objectives and approach to the risk management of its treasury management activities
 - A manual of treasury management practices, setting out how the City Council will seek to achieve those policies and objectives and prescribing how it will manage and control those activities
- 12.3 Reports on treasury management policies, practices and activities shall be submitted to a full meeting of the City Council as follows:
- An annual strategy and plan, in advance of the year
 - A mid-year review of activities
 - An annual report, after the year-end
- 12.4 Responsibility for the effective scrutiny of its treasury management strategy and policies shall be delegated to the Audit Committee.
- 12.5 As an exception to general financial limitations on officer delegations, decisions on borrowing, investments and debt rescheduling, including all day-to-day transactions, shall be delegated to the City Council's Chief Finance Officer.
- 12.6 The Chief Finance Officer shall delegate, within an approved written framework, the power to undertake specified transactions in respect of treasury management activity, to named Officers.